CERTIFIED COPY OF



European Patent Office

Office européen des brevets

Generaldirektion 1

Directorate General 1

Direction Générale 1

Lloyd, Richard Graham
Hewlett-Packard France
Intellectual Property Section
Etablissement de Grenoble
38053 Grenoble Cedex 09
FR

Intellect Etablisse	ackard France ual Property Section ment de Grenoble noble Cedex 09		
	•	Da	atum/Date
- ·			11/03/03
Zeichen/Ref./Réf. Anmeldung Nr/Application No./Dema		Anmeldung Nr./Application No./Demande	n°./Patent Nr./Patent No./Brevet n°.
500200906-1 EP 02354194.9 1238		02354194.9 1238	
Anmelder/Applicant/De	mandeur/Patentinhaber/Proprietor/Titulaire		•
Hewlett-P	ackard Company		
Kop Cop Cop Bec Cer	dung von/Transmission bein bei Akteneinsicht nach Regel 94 bies in the case of inspection of files bies en cas d'inspection publique sel glaubigung tification tification	(3) EPÜ pursuant to Ru ie 94(3) EPC on la règle 94(3) CBE	vRequest dated/Requête du 24/02/03
[X] —	Prioritätsbeleg(e)/priority document(s)/document(s) de priorité R. 94(4) Ausfertigung(en) der Patenturkunde nach Regel 54(2) EPÜ Duplicate of the patent certificate pursuant to Rule 54(2) EPC		
Ext	Duplicata du certificat de brevet, selon la Règle 54(2) CBE Auszug aus dem Register nach Regel 92(3) EPÜ Extract from the register pursuant to Rule 92(3) EPC Extrait du registre selon la Règle 92(3) CBE		
Cor	Auskunft aus den Akten nach Regel 95 EPÜ Communication of information contained in the files pursuant to Rule 95 EPC Communication d'informations contenues dans la dossier selon la Règle 95 CBE		
Ins	eneinsicht nach Regel 94(2) EPÜ pection of files pursuant to Rule 94(2 pection publique selon la Règle 94(2		•

THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

THEREFAID LICATION Of: LeRouzo, et al.

Group No.: 2102

Serial No.: 10/724,920

Docket No. 500200906-2

Filed: 12/01/2003

Confirmation No.: 1841

For: Methods and Apparatus Relating to Class Issues,

Product Detection and Customer Support

CLAIM OF PRIORITY TO AND SUBMISSION OF CERTIFIED COPY OF FRENCH APPLICATION PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. §119

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

In regard to the above-identified pending patent application and in accordance with 35 U.S.C. §119, Applicants hereby claim priority to and the benefit of the filing date of French patent application entitled, "Methods and Apparatus relating to Class Issues, Product Detection and Customer Support", filed December 13, 2002, and assigned serial number 02354194.9. Further pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §119, enclosed is a certified copy of the French patent application

Respectfully Submitted,

THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, L.L.P.

By:

100 Galleria Parkway, Suite 1750 Atlanta, Georgia 30339 770-933-9500

CERTIFIED MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as "First Class Mail," in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for

Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on 8-2-2004

Signatur



Europäisches **Patentamt**

European 📑 🦠 **Patent Office**

Office européen des brevets

Bescheinigung

Certificate

Attestation

Die angehefteten Unterlagen stimmen mit der ursprünglich eingereichten Fassung der auf dem nächsten Blatt bezeichneten europäischen Patentanmeldung überein.

The attached documents are exact copies of the European patent application conformes à la version described on the following page, as originally filed.

Les documents fixés à cette attestation sont initialement déposée de la demande de brevet européen spécifiée à la page suivante.

Patentanmeldung Nr.

Patent application No. Demande de brevet nº

02354194.9

Der Präsident des Europäischen Patentamts; Im Auftrag

For the President of the European Patent Office

Le Président de l'Office européen des brevets p.o.

R C van Dijk

DEN HAAG, DEN THE HAGUE, LA HAYE, LE

11/03/03



Europäisches **Patentamt**

European **Patent Office**

Office européen des brevets

Blatt 2 der Bescheinigung Sheet 2 of the certificate Page 2 de l'attestation

Anmeldung Nr.: Application no.: Demande n°:

02354194.9

Anmeldetag: Date of filing: Date de dépôt:

13/12/02

Anmelder: Applicant(s):

Demandeur(s):

Hewlett-Packard Company

Palo Alto, CA 94304

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Bezeichnung der Erfindung:

Title of the invention: Titre de l'invention:

Methods and apparatus relating to class issues, product detection and customer support

In Anspruch genommene Prioriät(en) / Priority(ies) claimed / Priorité(s) revendiquée(s)

State: Pays:

Tag: Date:

File no. Numéro de dépôt:

Internationale Patentklassifikation: International Patent classification:
Classification internationale des brevets:

Am Anmeldetag benannte Vertragstaaten: Contracting states designated at date of filing: Etats contractants désignés lors du depôt:

AT/BG/BE/CH/CY/CZ/DE/DK/EE/ES/FI/FR/GB/GR/IE/IT/LI/LU/MC/NL/

Bemerkungen: Remarks: Remarques:

15

20

Methods and Apparatus Relating to Class Issues, Product Detection and Customer Support

5 Technical Field of the Invention and Overview of the Prior Art

This invention relates, in broad terms, to the phenomenon of "class issues", which arise when problems are identified with classes, batches or series, for example, of computer hardware and software products after the products have been shipped and installed on end-user systems.

Where such problems arise, product providers may have to locate all of the intended recipients of the product concerned, so that they may be made aware of the problem and so that appropriate remedial action may be taken, such as effecting a product recall, providing replacement components, in the case of hardware problems, or, where code is concerned, software patches.

This, at best, is a very difficult task: at worst, it can be impossible.

Alternatively, product providers can advertise publicly the problem experienced and invite any recipients of the product concerned to contact them, with a view to taking appropriate corrective action or to obtaining software upgrades, for example. This approach, however, is clearly undesirable from a marketing viewpoint as it alerts the world at large to the problems concerned.

As will be appreciated, such problems can arise not only in relation to a specific hardware or software component, but also in relation to ranges of products such as multi-platform software applications and hardware devices having a number of optional specifications.

25 Summary of the Invention

In accordance with a first aspect of the present invention, there is provided a method of detecting a non-virus component in a virus-protected computer system comprising identifying a software trace of the component and

10

20

25

conveying the trace to the computer system as a virus pseudo-signature to allow detection of the component by the system's antivirus software.

It should be understood, in the context of this specification, that the term "virus pseudo-signature" is intended to refer to a signature that has the appearance and/or traits of a genuine virus signature but which, in fact, is not indicative of the presence of a virus but rather of a non-virus component. The term "pseudo" is thus intended to indicate that whilst the entity appears to be a virus signature, it is in fact indicative of the presence of a non-virus entity.

The trace may be conveyed to the computer system as part of an update procedure, whereby additional virus signatures or scanning engines may also be passed to the antivirus software.

The component may be a hardware device, with the software trace being indicative of the presence of the device in the computer system.

The software trace may be resident in a volatile area of the system's memory.

The pseudo-signature may be tagged or otherwise marked to distinguish it from authentic virus signatures.

The antivirus software may be modified so as to react differently to the presence of pseudo and authentic virus signatures. The modification may be effected as part of the update procedure.

The antivirus software may be modified so that it does not attempt to fix, clean, modify or delete the component associated with the pseudo-signature.

Detection of the pseudo-signature may cause an advisory message to be conveyed to a user of the system, advising the user of the presence of the detected component.

Detection of the pseudo-signature may, in addition or alternatively, effect a connection to a website providing details of the component concerned.

10

15

20

25

In accordance with a second aspect of the present invention, there is provided a method of facilitating the detection of a non-virus component in a first virus-protected computer system comprising identifying, on a second computer system, a software trace of the component, and conveying the trace towards an antivirus update source whereby the software trace may be passed, as a virus pseudo-signature, to the first computer system.

In accordance with a third aspect of the present invention, there is provided a method of detecting, in a virus-protected computer system, the presence of a non-virus component comprising receiving a virus pseudo-signature associated with a software trace of the non-virus component, and comparing the pseudo-signature with software traces disposed within the system's memory.

In accordance with a fourth aspect of the present invention, there is provided apparatus for detecting, in a virus-protected computer system, a non-virus component, comprising a pseudo-signature generation element operative to produce a software trace of the component, and an antivirus support source whereby the software trace may be conveyed, as a virus pseudo-signature, to the computer system.

In accordance with a fifth aspect of the present invention, there is provided an antivirus update source having a reception element operative to receive software traces indicative of the presence, in a computer system, of a non-virus component, and a dispatch element operative to convey virus signatures to a plurality of computer systems in addition to a pseudo-signature produced in response to the received software trace.

In accordance with a sixth aspect of the present invention, there is provided an antivirus software element having a virus scanning engine and a signature table containing a plurality of virus signatures, the element also having a distinguishing capability whereby the element responds differently to

15

20

25

the detection of virus signatures and virus pseudo-signatures, the latter being indicative of the presence of a non-virus component in a host computer system.

In accordance with a seventh aspect of the present invention, there is provided use of an antivirus software element to detect, in a virus-protected computer system, a non-virus component, comprising receiving a virus pseudo-signature generated from a software trace of the component and scanning a host computer system, using the software element, so as to detect the presence of any component therein, having a matching software trace.

Brief Description of the Drawing

A specific and non-limiting embodiment of the invention, in its various aspects, will now be described by reference to the accompanying drawing which shows, in a flow-diagram manner, the steps taken in implementing the method concerned, on both support and end-user sides.

Detailed Description of a Preferred Embodiment and Best Mode of the Invention

Looking first at the steps taken on the support side, by a hardware product consumer support provider, for example, a problem identification step 10 first occurs, by which the support provider is made aware of operational difficulties that users have experienced with a given hardware device.

As will be understood by those well-versed in the relevant art, installation and use of a computer hardware device gives rise to a software trace of the device concerned in a software area of the computer system: as an example, certain Hard Disk Drives (HDDs) are detected by a computer system's Operating System with it thus being possible to identify, by analysis/interrogation of an appropriate area of the Operating System, the manufacturer and serial number (for example) of the device concerned.

Although it may well be necessary to conduct the exercise many times, to take account of differing Operating Systems and infrastructure platforms,

10

15

20

25

step 11 shows that an identification occurs of an appropriate software trace on a test system. Thus, an analysis is made of a test system so that the software trace left by the hardware product in issue can be identified.

Subsequently, the thus-identified software trace is conveyed to an antivirus update source such as a website, whereby subscribers to the antivirus update service will be made aware of the software trace when a subsequent connection is made to the update site. By this mechanism, the software trace is passed to the update source in the guise of a virus pseudo-signature, for later detection by an end-user's antivirus application.

Looking next at the end-user side, the process begins with the installation 13 of the hardware device.

It may well be the case, of course, that the user is unaware of any problem with the device, as operational problems can sometimes arise only in specific circumstances or only when particular demands are placed upon the end user's system, for example. As is now almost standard in the case of networked and internet-connected computers, the end user system will, on a repeated or periodic basis, seek to update the antivirus software loaded onto the system, to ensure that the system remains protected against virus problems, as new virus signatures come into existence on a near-continuous basis. Indeed, it is currently thought that, in the absence of any upgrades, standard antivirus software becomes out-of-date within about 15 days.

When a subsequent connection is made to the antivirus update site, conveniently using a web connection, the system will be provided with a number of updating data files comprising new virus signatures, which allow the antivirus software to detect the presence of any virus or virus-infected code on the system's volatile and non-volatile data storage devices. The system will also be provided with the pseudo-code (the software trace) conveyed to the update website at step 12, meaning that the antivirus software will then detect

15

20

25

the presence of the hardware component that gives rise to the software trace associated with the pseudo-code (see step 16), thus causing the antivirus software to display an advisory message (step 17) informing a user of the system that the hardware component concerned has been identified as being present on the system and explaining what remedial action might be appropriate. Alternatively, on a more automated basis, detection of the software trace may cause a browser application to be launched to enable a connection to be made to a support website (step 18) which provides the user with more detailed information on any remedial action that may be necessary, thus allowing such action to be taken, at step 19.

What will be understood from the foregoing is that the present invention, whilst inherently simple in nature, offers a real improvement to the manner in which class issue problems can be addressed, by realising that the prevalence of antivirus software and automated update mechanisms provides a ready medium by which hardware tracking can be effected. All that is required, on the system side, is the presence of an antivirus application having an automated update facility, with it being relatively straightforward for software traces of hardware devices to be conveyed, to an antivirus support site, as a pseudo-signature, which will eventually be detected by systems having the hardware in question. From the point of view of a support provider, the system is not only attractive in terms of simplicity of use, but also is advantageous in that it avoids the need to broadcast the existence of any hardware problems, with it being necessary, in this way, only to alert those users who have actually installed the hardware concerned.

Similarly, whilst it may be appropriate to effect a modest upgrade to the antivirus application, to allow it to identify and deal appropriately with pseudo-signatures, this can easily be achieved in parallel, for example, with existing

10

15

are are

7

update procedures that are used to amend and upgrade key aspects of antivirus applications such as the virus-scanning engines, for example.

Whilst the specific embodiment described above relates to problems experienced with hardware devices, it will of course be understood that the invention lends itself equally well to the identification of software components so that application problems, bugs and other deficiences can be dealt with in much the same way. Indeed, any element of a computer system that has a software trace resident on the system concerned can be identified in this manner.

As a final point, it should be understood that the term "virus" is intended to encompass a broad variety of software entities such as true virus coding that "piggybacks" on genuine programs and applications, in addition to e-mail viruses, worms and trojan horses, for example.

The features disclosed in the foregoing description, or the following claims, or the accompanying drawings, expressed in their specific forms or in terms of a means for performing the disclosed function, or a method or process for attaining the disclosed result, as appropriate, may, separately, or in any combination of such features, be utilised for realising the invention in diverse forms thereof.

15

CLAIMS:

- 1. A method of detecting a non-virus component in a virus-protected computer system comprising identifying a software trace of the component and conveying the trace to the computer system as a virus pseudo-signature to allow detection of the component by the system's antivirus software.
- A method according to claim 1 wherein the trace is conveyed to the computer system as part of an update procedure, whereby additional virus
 signatures or scanning engines may also be passed to the antivirus software.
 - 3. A method according to claim 1 or claim 2 wherein the component is a hardware device and wherein the software trace is indicative of the presence of the device in the computer system.
 - 4. A method according to claim 3 wherein the software trace is resident in a volatile area of the system's memory.
- A method according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the
 pseudo-signature is tagged or otherwise marked to distinguish it from authentic virus signatures.
- 6. A method according to claim 5 wherein the antivirus software is modified so as to react differently to the presence of pseudo and authentic virus signatures.
 - 7. A method according to claim 6 wherein the modification is effected as part of the update procedure.

8. A method according to claim 6 or claim 7 wherein the antivirus software does not attempt to fix, clean, modify or delete the component associated with the pseudo-signature.

5

- 9. A method according to claim 6, claim 7 or claim 8 wherein detection of the pseudo-signature causes an advisory message to be conveyed to a user of the system, advising the user of the presence of the detected component.
- 10 10. A method according to any one of claims 6 to 9 wherein detection of the pseudo-signature effects a connection to a website providing details of the component concerned.
- 11. A method of facilitating the detection of a non-virus component in a first virus-protected computer system comprising identifying, on a second computer system, a software trace of the component, and conveying the trace towards an antivirus update source whereby the software trace may be passed, as a virus pseudo-signature, to the first computer system.
- 20 12. A method of detecting, in a virus-protected computer system, the presence of a non-virus component comprising receiving a virus pseudo-signature associated with a software trace of the non-virus component, and comparing the pseudo-signature with software traces disposed within the system's memory.

25

13. A method according to claim 12 wherein, in the event of a match being found, the antivirus software of the system is operative to convey, to a user of

20

the system, an advisory message advising of the presence of the detected component.

- 14. Apparatus for detecting, in a virus-protected computer system, a non-virus component, comprising a pseudo-signature generation element operative to produce a software trace of the component, and an antivirus support source whereby the software trace may be conveyed, as a virus pseudo-signature, to the computer system.
- 10 15. An antivirus update source having a reception element operative to receive software traces indicative of the presence, in a computer system, of a non-virus component, and a dispatch element operative to convey virus signatures to a plurality of computer systems in addition to a pseudo-signature produced in response to the received software trace.
 - 16. An antivirus software element having a virus scanning engine and a signature table containing a plurality of virus signatures, the element also having a distinguishing capability whereby the element responds differently to the detection of virus signatures and virus pseudo-signatures, the latter being indicative of the presence of a non-virus component in a host computer system.
- 17. Use of an antivirus software element to detect, in a virus-protected computer system, a non-virus component, comprising receiving a virus pseudo-signature generated from a software trace of the component and scanning a host computer system, using the software element, so as to detect the presence of any component therein, having a matching software trace.

17:08

11

ABSTRACT:

Title: Methods and Apparatus Relating to Class Issues, Product Detection and Customer Support

5.

A method of detecting a non-virus component in a virus-protected computer system comprising identifying a software trace of the component and conveying the trace to the computer system as a virus pseudo-signature to allow detection of the component by the system's antivirus software.

10




FIG __1

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:
☐ BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
·

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

OTHER: _

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.